LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 RISK RISK RISK RISK WITH

RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S EX	RISH COR WITH (ISTI ASU	RE H NG	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FL AC CO	SCOF WITH JRTH CTIO NTR	H IER NS/ OLS	COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
1. FINANCIAL CHALLENGES The Council fails to respond adequately to the cuts in public sector funding over the coming 2 - 3 years.	Council.	 Budget approved to 2015/16, and balanced on paper to 16/17. Work commenced on spending review programme which takes into account the Government's spending intentions as at March 2014. The first spending review has now concluded. Corporate Management Team and Executive monitoring closely implementation of the existing agreed savings. Capital Advisory Board to review profile and management of capital programme to minimise slippage and overspending 	5	4	20	 Continued development of savings proposals for future years beyond the three year strategy, reflecting the Council's strategic service priorities and on-going modelling of the Council's potential future income and cost streams, recognising the significant reviews of Local Government funding and service delivery responsibilities at national level. Continuation of the spending review initiatives and delivery of the programme. Consideration and forward planning for the long term savings strategy for 2018/19. Appropriate change management arrangements to be put in place for major review areas 	5	2	10		Andy Keeling Alison Greenhill	31/3/2016 and On- going

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET RISK SCORE SCORE WITH RISK WITH FURTHER CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: **EXISTING** ACTIONS/ What is the problem; **EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS** MEASURES CONTROLS what is the cause; what FURTHER MANAGEMENT **RISK** TARGET COST What would occur as a result. What are you doing to manage this REQUIRED could go wrong? What is ACTIONS/CONTROLS **OWNER** DATE how much of a problem would risk now? it that will prevent you it be, to whom and why? Probability Probability from achieving your Impact Impact Risk Risk objectives? 2. STAKEHOLDER - Failure of local agreements Mechanisms in place for regular Close involvement of City Miranda 31/03/16 ENGAGEMENT and stakeholder arrangements dialogue including formal Mavor and Members in kev Cannon / and partnerships e.g. Health and The Council fails to to deliver agreed levels of partnerships. All Strategic ongoing further develop and performance, the impacts of Wellbeing Board. Regular review and Directors which may reflect negatively City Mayor Faith and Community evaluation of the current improve the way it works on the Council adversely Forum in place to engage position by Strategic with its stakeholders

3

4

12 VCS.

Management Board.

commissioning process

Keep arrangements under

review and undertake a more

formal review post election.

Continue to develop and

working strategically with the

communications/engagement

plan of all critical and large

relationships are given full

consideration and priority,

Need to fully embed CMT

 Key aspects of partnership working being reviewed in the light of OfSTED findings eg

where needed.

LSCB

within the Council.

partners to ensure that these

4 2

8

embed the approach to

Develop stakeholder

Complete VCS

specifically with faith and non-faith

- New arrangements for support to

the Voluntary Community Sector

(VCS) have been commissioned

Work continuing to review

commissioning of support for

engagement of key communities via

Partnership working arrangements

Cllr Sood has partnership working

in the city were further reviewed

following the election of the City

Mayor and adoption of new

governance arrangements.

within her portfolio.

communities.

Transformation Fund plans are and contracts are being put in place.

the VCS

affecting its reputation.

relationships.

- Potential litigation where it

impacts on formal contractual

Financial risk if Integration

Partnership working will be

an expensive bureaucracy and

Reputational damage to the

perspective of stakeholders.

Partnership working fails to

take into account the needs of

fail to add value to improving

outcomes for the citizens of

Council/City from the

all communities.

inadequate or not agreed.

(partners, neighbouring Councils, NHS etc.).

Key partners and

stakeholders fail to

support the council in

delivery of its strategy as

strained relationships due

tensions arising in the city Leicester.

a result of tensions and

to financial and other

Council fails to identify

(particularly as the

financial challenges

leading to unrest in

impact on communities)

communities/areas of the

pressures.

specific

city.

Risk Register Ow	ner: Andy Keeling, CO	LCC Strategi	C F	Ris		Register Date completed: 30 A	Apr	il 2	015			
RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S V EX	RISK COR WITH (ISTI ASU	ε Ι	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FL AC CO	ARG SCOF WITI JRTH CTIO NTR	RE H IER NS/ OLS	COST	RISK OWNER	TARGE
it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
(Continued) If stakeholder engagement is not robust and effective but is critical to the delivery of the Council's priorities, statutory duties etc., these may not be delivered. An example of such is the need to have a continuing, productive partnership relationship with Clinical Commissioning Group which is particularly important in light of the importance for Adult Social Care of the Better	consensus across key partners in the City and therefore the work of individual organisations pulls in different and potentially conflicting directions. - Places a strain on resources and services to manage. - Partners are present round the table but are not collectively owning the agenda or taking on board the responsibilities and actions that arise therefore undermining the approach - Public health and wellbeing may be impacted or the quality of the service delivered to the Public is insufficient, which could cause harm.	 The Council/ Police have a Community Gold meeting which meets approx. once a month and includes Local Policing Unit commanders, the Basic Command Unit commander and council officers from Leicester Anti-Social Behaviour Unit, youth services, community services. This tracks and agrees joint actions to address any known tensions in communities. This is supported by a shared system between front line officers from the police and the council to track community tension. Community joint management group now in place which creates a regular conduit for engagement with community leaders. LLEP Review has been finalised which has strengthened governance and management of the Leicester, Leicestershire Enterprise Partnership and links with Further Education/Higher Education/ VCS and business sectors. 										

LCC Strategic Risk Register Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET RISK SCORE SCORE WITH RISK WITH FURTHER CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: ACTIONS/ EXISTING What is the problem; **EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS** MEASURES CONTROLS what is the cause; what FURTHER MANAGEMENT **RISK** TARGET COST What would occur as a result. What are you doing to manage this REQUIRED could go wrong? What is ACTIONS/CONTROLS **OWNER** DATE how much of a problem would risk now? it that will prevent you it be, to whom and why? Probability Probability from achieving your Impact Impact Risk Risk objectives? 3. BUSINESS/SERVICE Insufficiently prepared - All the Senior Management Team Further embedding of 31/3/2016 Andy Keeling CONTINUITY management leads to disorder have roles in either the Corporate business continuity and On-MANAGEMENT in the rapid restoration of Business Continuity Management management approach. going Unforeseen unpredictable business critical activities and Team (CBCT) or are Emergency Further completion of Controllers. Business Continuity tests. events such as flood. the control of the emergency -Head of Internal Audit and Risk plan. Completion of all Service power/utility failure etc. Business Continuity Plans. - The emerging risk Management Chairs the Multicould impact on the environment increasingly Agency Business Continuity Group Further council's assets. makes 'resilience' a significant -CBCT have formal refresher communication/training and communication channels focus for all organisations. meetings three times a year awareness for staff on or resources etc. Budget cuts and Training offered corporately continuity arrangements. Directors involvement in CBCT rationalisation may also Annual review of Critical challenge the ability of Meetings held 3 times a year. Service Business Continuity Category 1 responders (which **Risk Management and Insurance** Plans initiated by Risk LCC are) to fulfil their statutory Services/Emergency Management Management and Insurance dutv. Team provide updates and lessons Services 3 12 4 2 8 Resource restraints means learnt on incidents to CBCT/Audit & that there is limited staff to Risk Committee as appropriate

Self cert annually by Directors

Corporate Business Continuity

annually but also updated as and when changes occur which should

Business Continuity Secure Site (web based) holds BCP and all Business Critical Activities BCPs and is securely accessed by members of the CBCT

Plan (BCP) which is reviewed

be reflected in the plan

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

perform manual operations at the volume required in an

event/incident.

communicate to stakeholders/deliver its

services.

Council is unable to

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET RISK SCORE SCORE WITH RISK WITH FURTHER CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: **EXISTING** ACTIONS/ What is the problem; **EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS** MEASURES CONTROLS what is the cause; what FURTHER MANAGEMENT RISK TARGET COST What would occur as a result. What are you doing to manage this REQUIRED could go wrong? What is ACTIONS/CONTROLS **OWNER** DATE how much of a problem would risk now? it that will prevent you it be, to whom and why? Probability Probability from achieving your Impact Impact Risk Risk objectives? 4. INFORMATION Major loss of public Clear policies and protocols in Clear and on-going Andy Keeling 31/03/2016 GOVERNANCE confidence in the organisation. place. communications to staff to and On-Potential litigation and Staff have been trained and made reinforce policies and Information going protocols. Governance/Security/ financial loss to the Council. aware of the Council's policies and Regular review and Data Protection Reputational damage to the procedures. policies/procedures/ Council. Secure storage solutions are now monitoring of arrangements protocols are not followed - With data held in a vast array in place. across services by Service by staff and members. of places and being Paper retention has been reduced Managers supported by transferred between supply through the introduction of scanning Information chain partners, data becomes Security/Governance Teams. etc. susceptible to loss; protection Member induction post May 2015 Ensure that the policy in elections will cover and reinforce and privacy risks. place around the management - Reduction in the the issues around information of electronic data and disposal of data is in the awareness of capacity/capability to retain governance such data. This could also be - Programme underway to reinforce staff 4 3 12 2 8

to staff the need to manage email

Manadatory e-learning module for

data and storage appropriately

staff

costly.

Excessive retention of data

can still be requested through

a Freedom of Information Act

if retained. - Council may not

appropriate individuals/bodies accurately, securely and in a

-Council fails to adequately secure/protect confidential and

share data with the

sensitive data held.

timely manner.

4

Ongoing review and updating

of appropriate information

sharing agreements.

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET

RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S EX	RISH COR WITH (ISTI ASU	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FL AC CO	ARG COF WITH IRTH CTIO NTR QUIF	RE H IER NS/ OLS	COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
5. BREACHES IN COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATION, POLICIES, PROCEDURES HEALTH AND SAFETY ETC Local management use discretion to apply inconsistent processes and misinterpret Corporate policies & procedures, perpetuating varying standards across business units. The City Council fails to respond effectively to the requirements of Health and Safety Executive/Government proposals and/or legislation which places health and safety responsibilities on local authorities.	 Places the organisation at risk e.g. fraud, data loss etc. Potential financial losses / inefficient use of resources. Possibility of serious injury or death of member of staff or service user/members of the public. Failure to meet statutory responsibilities. Reputational damage to the Council. Negative stakeholder relationships Potential for increase in the number of insurance claims 	 Regular reporting from Internal Audit to Strategic Management Board. Approach to the annual corporate governance review revised and a more effective process established. Day to day management of Health and Safety responsibility rests with the Operational Directors and their Heads of Service. Corporate Health and Safety team available to assist. Risk is reported and controlled through Divisional Directors Operational Risk Registers (presented to the CMT each quarter) and these are underpinned by registers at Heads of Service level reviewed and discussed at Divisional Management Teams quarterly. Regular inspections and reports by the Health and Safety team with all actions being followed up within a reasonable time. A process of more regular reporting to Corporate Management Team on health and safety matters is underway Significant change to the absence management policy and procedure 	4	3	12	 Continue to review and reinforce key standards and policies via regular communication. Ensure Managers are appropriately trained and requirements are clearly set out in Job Descriptions and reinforced via appraisals. Ensure Internal Audit findings are acted on in a timely manner. Strategic monitoring and reporting in relation to Health & Safety being reviewed to raise profile and ensure responsibilities are reinforced from the top. Consider the creation of a policy schedule to maintain an overview of all Council policies. Implement appropriate quality assurance arrangements for the new absence management procedures 	4	2	8		Kamal Adatia / Miranda Cannon	31/3/2016 and On- going

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET RISK SCORE SCORE WITH **RISK** WITH FURTHER CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: **EXISTING** ACTIONS/ What is the problem; **EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS** MEASURES CONTROLS what is the cause; what FURTHER MANAGEMENT **RISK** TARGET COST What would occur as a result, What are you doing to manage this REQUIRED could go wrong? What is ACTIONS/CONTROLS **OWNER** DATE how much of a problem would risk now? it that will prevent you it be, to whom and why? Probability Probability from achieving your Impact Impact Risk Risk objectives? 6. SAFEGUARDING Death or serious injury. Safeguarding Adults and Board performance and Andy Keeling 31/3/2016 Weak Management Serious case reviews Children's Boards in place. framework development. /Frances and On-Regular reviews of Chair of Board has direct oversight of safeguarding initiated. Craven going policies/procedures and close processes in place leads -Reputational damage to the accountability through Chief supervision of staff. Operating Officer. to the Council failing to Council.

5 3

15

Regular bi-annual meetings

with Mavor and Adults and

Children's Lead Members.

necessary improvements

identified via the Ofsted

inspection of Children's

Services

Full implementation of all

Review of assessments and

plans following OFSTED to

ensure all are 'good enough

quality', to include training of

staff as appropriate. -Social work electronic recording system will be developed by xx/xx/2015. 5 2 10

Citizens lose confidence in

Negatively impacts on

Impacts severely on staff

- Leads to high turnover of

social workedrs and

the Council.

stakeholders.

morale

managers.

people, elderly, those with relationships with

Range of guality assurance

and retain staff.

by OFSTED).

other arrangements eg Performance Board set up

processes exist within the Divisions.

Range of developments, including

Divisions to manage, support recruit

corporate training, exist within the

- Improvement Board established

following the Ofsted inspection and

-24/7 Duty and Advice Service in

place (and identified as a strength

adequately safeguard

vulnerable groups e.g.

children and young

physical and learning

disabilities.

Risk Register Ow	ner: Andy Keeling, C0	LCC Strategi	c I	Ris	sk	Register Date completed: 30 A	٩pr	il 2	015	1		
RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S EX	RISI COF WITI (ISTI ASU	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FL AC CO	ARG SCOF WITI JRTH CTIO NTR	RE H IER NS/ OLS	COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
	schools Increased risk of schools going into category of special measures Poor outcome for Local Authority if inspected under the OFSTED framework for LA SChool Improvement effectiveness	Revised desk top analysis to identify potential underperformance in idividual schools and settings Revised School Improvement Framework Regular reporting to DMT and LMB on schools causing concern and targeted work Self evaluation against OFSTED framework for inspection completed At risk schools discussed and warning notices considered Inspection file being collated to evidence effective and good practice in targetted work with schools	4	4	16	Targeted visits by Director of Learning Revised support packages Single plan implementation for RI schools Local Authority Reviews of individual schools to be negotiated Preparation for inspection to include briefing to all schools	4	2	8		Andy Keeling /Frances Craven	31/3/2016 and On- going

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 RISK RISK RISK WITH WITH

RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you		EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	EX	SCOF WITI (ISTI ASU	н	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FL AC CO	WITH IRTH CTIO NTR QUIF	ier NS/ Ols	соѕт	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
8. CIVIL CONTINGENCY RESPONSE/INCIDENT RESPONSE Council resources may not be adequate or sufficient to respond should an external incident/disaster occur (for example, the impact of climate change leading to floods placing responsibility to the Council to house evacuees from other counties/areas) .	 weather (flood, heat, waves, drought, windstorm, increased snow fall etc.) building the right infrastructure and new statutory flood and water risk management duties. Having sufficient financial resources and flexibility to address these challenges becomes increasingly difficult. Having sufficient assets/contingency arrangements. Lack of resources could lead to inadequate response . Impact on the publics health and wellbeing, safety/housing 	management activity across the Council and its partners to reduce carbon. - Implementation is monitored through a carbon management board. Day to day management of climate change responsibility rests with the Operational Directors and their Heads of Service. - Risk is reported and controlled through the Divisional Directors	4	3	12	 Public engagement and city wide flood defence programmes are being developed jointly with the Environment Agency. This provides a two -pronged approach to manage the risk of severe flooding arising from climate change. LRF and Resilience Partnership arrangements continue to be reviewed. Robust schedule of plan reviews and training in place and agreed via the LRF 	4	2	8		Miranda Cannon / Alison Greenhill	31/3/2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Ow	ner: Andy Keeling, CO	LCC Strategi	c F	Ris	k	Register Date completed: 30 /	٩pr	il 2	015			
RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S N EX	RISK COR WITH ISTII ASUI	E I NG	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FU AC CO	QUI	RE H IER NS/ OLS	COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
from achieving your objectives?			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
8. CIVIL CONTINGENCY RESPONSE/INCIDENT RESPONSE (Continued)		City Council major incident plan reviewed and signed off. -New emergency control room now fully equipped and operational at City Hall and provides a facility for both local management of emergencies and use by the LRF as a SCG venue				'-MAGIC' training arranged for strategic level command officers across the LRF and due to be delivered in May 2015.						

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET RISK SCORE SCORE WITH RISK WITH FURTHER CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: **EXISTING** ACTIONS/ What is the problem; **EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS** MEASURES CONTROLS what is the cause; what FURTHER MANAGEMENT **RISK** TARGET COST What would occur as a result. What are you doing to manage this REQUIRED could go wrong? What is ACTIONS/CONTROLS **OWNER** DATE how much of a problem would risk now? it that will prevent you it be, to whom and why? Probability Probability from achieving your Impact Impact Risk objectives? Risk 9. RESOURCE: - The Council does not have - Human Resources (HR) review Continue to develop the Miranda 31/03/16 CAPACITY. the right skills, behaviours and has built in capacity for longer-term Council's workforce planning Cannon and workforce planning and a more approach and fundamentally CAPABILITY, competencies in terms of the ongoing **RETENTION &** workforce to deliver the city's strategic approach. Strategic HR review how workforce DEVELOPMENT vision and priorities. work programme agreed which development will support this The Council fails to maximise captures this. in future. Lack of workforce - Talent match (internal jobs market) the potential of its key Consider retention planning and appropriate resource. now being rolled out across the mechanisms and succession development of Staff become Council and running for a pilot planning. managers and employees demotivated/are under period Roll out vision and values leaves the Council pressure which has an impact - HR Workforce Planning Team across the organisation and exposed to service on productivity and delivery actively involved in supporting areas embed in ways of working failure. across the Council. where there are existing pressures The Council does not eq children's services Disruption to service delivery. have the Organisational vision and values Impacts on continuity of capacity/resilience in 3 4 12 3 3 9 services. Creates risks in currently being finalised to support resources, should an delivery because information many aspects of organisational event/incident occur, may on processes/procedures etc management and staff engagement significantly increase the is lost demand on front line Service demands may not be services. met. Changing market Reputational damage. conditions gives rise to Financial impacts. the council not being

the council not being seen as first choice for employment as private sector may be perceived

as offering better reward.

Drain on resources

		LCC Strategi	сF	Ris		•						
Risk Register Ow	ner: Andy Keeling, CO	00				Date completed: 30 /	Apr	ʻil 2	015			
RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S V EX	RISH COR WITH (ISTI ASU	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FL AC CO	ARG SCOF WITI JRTH CTIO NTR QUII	re H Ier NS/ Ols	COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
9. RESOURCE: CAPACITY, CAPABILITY, RETENTION & DEVELOPMENT (Continued)	 Potential reduction in controls being exercised and as a result, the business control environment is reduced. Potential exposure for fraud/irregularity. Impact on the Health and Wellbeing of the City. Council loses knowledge, experience and skills Posts not filled with the right skills set/qualification/experience changing market conditions may result in the Council being unable to recruit to specific posts or attract candidates of the right skill mix 											

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET RISK SCORE SCORE WITH RISK WITH FURTHER CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: **EXISTING** ACTIONS/ What is the problem; **EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS** MEASURES CONTROLS what is the cause; what FURTHER MANAGEMENT RISK TARGET COST What would occur as a result, What are you doing to manage this REQUIRED could go wrong? What is ACTIONS/CONTROLS **OWNER** DATE how much of a problem would risk now? it that will prevent you it be, to whom and why? Probability Probability from achieving your Impact Impact Risk Risk objectives? 10. CONTRACT Reputational damage. -Revised and improved Contract -Development of new Alison 13/03/2016 MANAGEMENT & Financial impacts: valuable Procedure Rules now in place along procurement template Greenhill funding is used for rectification with associated guidance. PROCUREMENT documentation Contract management of issues. Policy that all procurement over a -Implementation of new Increase in staff resources to deminimis threshold must be carried protocols/procedures are electronic tendering system defend a challenge. out by one of the specialist -Professional training for not robust and there is

3 3

9

role.

procurement staff (MCIPS)

across the Council

-Development of

-Training in procurement and

contract management for staff

-Enhanced engagement with

portfolio of potential suppliers

ensure all staff are informed of

above as appropriate to their

3 3 9

local business to widen

communications plan to

Potential for litigation and

fines being incurred.

adhered too.

contracts are not in place - The Council is challenged in

Contract service level

agreements may not be

value for money for the

the reduction of contracts

Discouraged providers may

not tender for the contract in the future, potentially reducing the portfolio of providers and even reducing the availability of high guality providers.

services it procures.

when re-tendered.

lack of understanding/ awareness within the

Service areas may exercise partnership

collaborative agreements

where formalised/legal

and possibly these may

not be legally binding.

arrangements/

Council.

procurement teams.

available from RMIS

- The Council does not receive - Engagement with local supplier

groups

-Professional procurement staff

-Contract Risk Management training

recruited and now in post

Risk Register Ow	ner: Andy Keeling, CO	LCC Strategi	c F	Ris		Register Date completed: 30 /	Apr	il 2	015	5		
RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would	What are you doing to manage this	S EX	RISH COF WITH XISTI ASU	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FL AC CO	ARG SCOF WITH IRTH CTIO NTR QUIF	RE H IER NS/ OLS	COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
10. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT & PROCUREMENT (Continued).	 Council pay higher fees for services contracted or are unable to exit contracts when service delivery is not inline with the expected quality/contractual requirements. the Council may not procure goods and services from sustainable providers. 											

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET RISK SCORE SCORE WITH RISK WITH FURTHER CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: ACTIONS/ EXISTING What is the problem; **EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS** MEASURES CONTROLS what is the cause; what FURTHER MANAGEMENT RISK TARGET COST What would occur as a result. What are you doing to manage this REQUIRED could go wrong? What is ACTIONS/CONTROLS **OWNER** DATE how much of a problem would risk now? it that will prevent you it be, to whom and why? Probability Probability from achieving your Impact Impact Risk objectives? Risk 11. ASSET Reputational damage. Phase 3 Accommodation Frank Jordan 31/12/2015 -A single corporate asset MANAGEMENT Increase in costs. management system is now in Strategy nearing completion. Plans for Phase 4 are Absence of an asset Loss of predicted revenue. place. -Annual Planned Maintenance management strategy will Deterioration of assets. underway. Establishment of a corporate affect the future Potential harm to the public. Programme is in place to cover the conditions/status of New business are not most urgent health and safety asset management group. attracted to Leicester. issues in the estate. Implementation of buildings. The council's assets may fall -Central Maintenance Fund is Transforming neighbourhood into disrepair losing income available to address urgent repair services and increasing maintenance items in the estate. Continued development of costs. In a worse case -Phases one and two of the central effective planned maintenance scenario assets mav be totally accommodation strategy have been programme - performance effectively implemented which has lost and community measurement in place to engagement too. significantly reduced the backlog proivde assurance regarding

4 20

5

compliance- concerto being

established and populated to

work as the single corporate

asset management system

5 3 15

maintenance issues in the estate.

the level of backlog maintenance

issues in the neighbourhood estate. -Building Schools for the Future (BSF) and Primary programmes are proceeding on course with a new Hard Facilities Management Offer for BSF Phase 3-6 using local contractors being concluded. -Condition surveys have now been completed for all neighbourhood

-Transforming Neighbourhood Services review in place to reduce

and leisure assets

		LCC Strategi	сF	Ris	sk I	Register						
Risk Register Ow	ner: Andy Keeling, CO	•				Date completed: 30 A	Apr	il 2	015	;		
RISK What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S V EX	RISK COR WITH ISTI ASUI	E I	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	FL AC CO		RE H IER	COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
12. NATIONAL AGENDA/CHANGES IN LEGISLATION/ GOVERNMENT ETC On-going changes in government, legislation etc. gives rise to new demands and responsibilities with insufficient time for implementation and insufficient budget.	 Loss of income. Services may not be delivered. Reputational damage. The budget may not be sufficient to deliver the expected service demand. Statutory services. such as public health may be reduced and or the Council is unable to protect and safeguard the public, vulnerable individuals etc. Implementation of unpopular fees for services required by the Public of the Council. The health and wellbeing of the City may be impacted. Causing service failure or significant cost over runs. 	Directors keep abreast of policy change and development in their portfolios. The implications of change described and discussed. Including political briefings if required. Budgeting takes account of national changes. Staff are trained in new requirements.	4	3		Examine options for service integration; improved leadership development; manage demand better; have honest conversations with the public about what can be expected from us; improve commissioning activity across the Council.	3	2	6		Andy Keeling	31/03/2016

LCC Strategic Risk Register Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO Date completed: 30 April 2015 TARGET RISK SCORE SCORE WITH RISK WITH FURTHER **CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: EXISTING ACTIONS/** What is the problem; **EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS** MEASURES CONTROLS RISK TARGET what is the cause; what FURTHER MANAGEMENT What would occur as a result, COST What are you doing to manage this REQUIRED could an wrong? What is ACTIONS/CONTROLS OWNER DATE

could go wrong? What is	how much of a problem would	risk now?				ACTIONS/CONTROLS	RE	QUI	ΧED	OWNER	DATE
it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?	it be, to whom and why?		Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk		
13. CHANNEL SHIFT The Council may be unsuccessful in channel shifting customers to less resource intensive forms of contact than face to face or telephone contact. The infrastructure may not be in place to enable the shift and the culture change is not enabled among staff and customers to support it.	provision. - Process and improvements	-A draft Digital Channel Shift Strategy has been developed. -A Customer Access Strategy is in place. -The Transforming Neighbourhood Services programme is underway improving co-location and integration of services with customer services represented on the steering group. - New corporate website launched in March 2015 and is helping drive increased on-line transactions - Major redevelopment of Visit Leicester website being scoped. Project Mgr started on 1st June 2014.	4	3	12	-Merger of the Customer Service teams programme underway. -Continue to review existing arrangements to ensure that they are efficient and effective as some arrangements carry high administrative overhead. - All services to be asked to review their comms to ensure that online options are promoted ahead of traditional access channels. - The council will adopt a single, council branded, self- help kiosk across all its sites, to simplify the support overhead and to help promote the service. - Governance arrangements being reviewed to reshape the role and focus of the Web Governance Board - A communications plan to support channel shift among staff and customers to be developed.	3	3	9	Andy Keeling/ Alison Greenhill/ Frank Jordan	31/03/2016